



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2014 - 2019

---

*Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety*  
*Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development*

---

**2013/0433(COD)**

28.4.2015

## **AMENDMENTS**

### **37 - 172**

**Draft report**  
**Giulia Moi, Renate Sommer**  
(PE551.999v01-00)

on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council  
on the cloning of animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine  
species kept and reproduced for farming purposes  
Proposal for a directive  
(COM(2013)0892 – C7-0002/2014 – 2013/0433(COD))(Joint committee  
meetings – Rule 55 of the Rules of Procedure)

AM\1059173EN.doc

PE554.978v01-00

AM\_Com\_LegReport

**Amendment 37**

**Janusz Wojciechowski, Beata Gosiewska, Bolesław G. Piecha**

**Draft legislative resolution**

**Citation 3 a (new)**

*Draft legislative resolution*

*Amendment*

*– having regard to the European Parliament’s legislative resolution of 7 July 2010 on the Council position at first reading with a view to the adoption of a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on novel foods, amending Regulation (EC) No 1331/2008 and repealing Regulation (EC) No 258/97 and Commission Regulation (EC) No 1852/2001,*

Or. pl

**Amendment 38**

**Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Title**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

Proposal for a **directive** of the European Parliament and of the Council **on** the cloning of animals **of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species** kept and reproduced for farming purposes

Proposal for a **regulation** of the European Parliament and of the Council **prohibiting** the cloning of animals kept and reproduced for farming purposes **or for sporting or cultural events**

*(The first part of this amendment, namely the change from Directive to Regulation, applies throughout the text. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout.)*

Or. en

**Amendment 39**

**Ulrike Müller**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Title**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Proposal for a **directive** of the European Parliament and the Council on the cloning of animals on the cloning of animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species kept and reproduced for farming purposes

*Amendment*

Proposal for a **regulation** of the European Parliament and the Council on the cloning of animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species kept and reproduced for farming purposes

*(This amendment applies throughout the text. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout.)*

Or. en

**Amendment 40**  
**José Bové, Bart Staes**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital -1 (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(-1) In the implementation of Union policy and having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, a high level of protection of human health and consumer protection should be guaranteed, as well as a high level of animal welfare and environmental protection. At all times, the precautionary principle as laid down in Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>1a</sup> should be applied.***

---

***<sup>1a</sup> Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 January 2002 laying down the general principles and requirements of food law, establishing the European Food Safety Authority and laying down***

## **Amendment 41**

**José Bové, Bart Staes**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Recital 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) Council Directive 98/58/EC<sup>20</sup> lays down general minimum welfare standards for animals bred or kept for farming purposes. ***It*** calls on Member States to avoid unnecessary pain, suffering or injury of farm animals. ***If cloning causes unnecessary pain, suffering or injury, Member States have to act at national level to avoid it.*** Different national approaches to animal cloning could lead to market distortion. It is thus necessary to ensure that the same conditions apply to all involved in the production and distribution of ***live animals*** throughout the Union.

---

<sup>20</sup> Council Directive 98/58/EC of 20 July 1998 concerning the protection of animals kept for farming purposes (OJ L 221, 8.8.1998, p. 23).

##### *Amendment*

(1) ***The cloning of animals is incompatible with*** Council Directive 98/58/EC<sup>20</sup>, ***which*** lays down general minimum welfare standards for animals bred or kept for farming purposes. ***Directive 98/58/EC*** calls on Member States to avoid unnecessary pain, suffering or injury of farm animals, ***and, more specifically, states that 'natural or artificial breeding procedures which cause, or are likely to cause, suffering or injury to any of the animals concerned must not be practised'.*** Different national approaches to animal cloning could lead to market distortion. It is thus necessary to ensure that the same conditions apply to all involved in the production and distribution of ***animal clones, embryo clones, descendants of animal clones, germinal products of animal clones and of their descendants, and of food from animal clones and their descendants*** throughout the Union.

---

<sup>20</sup> Council Directive 98/58/EC of 20 July 1998 concerning the protection of animals kept for farming purposes (OJ L 221, 8.8.1998, p. 23).

**Amendment 42**  
**Ivo Belet, Tom Vandenkendelaere**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) Council Directive 98/58/EC<sup>20</sup> lays down general minimum welfare standards for animals bred or kept for farming purposes. It calls on Member States to avoid unnecessary pain, suffering or injury of farm animals. If cloning causes unnecessary pain, suffering or injury, Member States have to act at national level to avoid it. Different national approaches to animal cloning could lead to market distortion. It is thus necessary to ensure that the same conditions apply to all involved in the production and distribution of live animals throughout the Union.

---

<sup>20</sup> Council Directive 98/58/EC of 20 July 1998 concerning the protection of animals kept for farming purposes (OJ L 221, 8.8.1998, p. 23).

*Amendment*

(1) Council Directive 98/58/EC<sup>20</sup> lays down general minimum welfare standards for animals bred or kept for farming purposes. It calls on Member States to avoid unnecessary pain, suffering or injury of farm animals. If cloning causes unnecessary pain, suffering or injury, Member States have to act at national level to avoid it. ***Moreover, a large majority of European consumers have no desire to eat food derived from animal clones.*** Different national approaches to animal cloning could lead to market distortion. It is thus necessary to ensure that the same conditions apply to all involved in the production and distribution of live animals throughout the Union.

---

<sup>20</sup> Council Directive 98/58/EC of 20 July 1998 concerning the protection of animals kept for farming purposes (OJ L 221, 8.8.1998, p. 23).

Or. nl

**Amendment 43**  
**Marc Tarabella**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) Council Directive 98/58/EC<sup>20</sup> lays down general minimum welfare standards

*Amendment*

(1) Council Directive 98/58/EC<sup>20</sup> lays down general minimum welfare standards

for animals bred or kept for farming purposes. It calls on Member States to avoid unnecessary pain, suffering or injury of farm animals. If cloning causes unnecessary pain, suffering or injury, Member States have to act at national level to avoid it. Different national approaches to animal cloning could lead to market distortion. It is thus necessary to ensure that the same conditions apply to all involved in the production and distribution of live animals throughout the Union.

---

<sup>20</sup> Council Directive 98/58/EC of 20 July 1998 concerning the protection of animals kept for farming purposes (OJ L 221, 8.8.1998, p. 23).

for animals bred or kept for farming purposes. It calls on Member States to avoid unnecessary pain, suffering or injury of farm animals. If cloning causes unnecessary pain, suffering or injury, Member States have to act at national level to avoid it. Different national approaches to animal cloning ***or to the use of products from cloned animals*** could lead to market distortion. It is thus necessary to ensure that the same conditions apply to all involved in the production and distribution of live animals throughout the Union.

---

<sup>20</sup> Council Directive 98/58/EC of 20 July 1998 concerning the protection of animals kept for farming purposes (OJ L 221, 8.8.1998, p. 23).

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 44**

**José Bové, Bart Staes**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Proposal for a directive**

##### **Recital 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(2) The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has confirmed that surrogate dams used in cloning suffer in particular from placenta dysfunctions contributing to increased levels of miscarriages<sup>21</sup>. This contributes, amongst other things, to the low efficiency of the technique, 6 to 15 % for bovine and 6 % for porcine species, and the need to implant embryo clones into several dams to obtain one clone. In addition, clone abnormalities and unusually large offspring result in difficult births and neonatal deaths.

*Amendment*

(2) The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) ***concluded, in its 2008 opinion on animal cloning<sup>20a</sup>, that 'the health and welfare of a significant proportion of clones (...) have been found to be adversely affected, often severely and with a fatal outcome'. More specifically, EFSA*** has confirmed that surrogate dams used in cloning suffer in particular from placenta dysfunctions contributing to increased levels of miscarriages<sup>21</sup>. This contributes, amongst other things, to the low efficiency of the technique, 6 to 15 % for bovine and 6 % for porcine species, and the need to implant embryo clones into several dams to

obtain one clone. In addition, clone abnormalities and unusually large offspring result in difficult births and neonatal deaths. ***High mortality rates at all development stages are characteristic of the cloning technique<sup>21a</sup>.***

---

20a

***<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/doc/767.pdf>***

---

<sup>21</sup> Scientific Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food Safety, Animal Health and Welfare and Environmental Impact of Animals derived from Cloning by Somatic Cell Nucleus Transfer (SCNT) and their Offspring and Products Obtained from those Animals  
<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/topics/topic/cloning.htm?wtrl=01>

<sup>21</sup> Scientific Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food Safety, Animal Health and Welfare and Environmental Impact of Animals derived from Cloning by Somatic Cell Nucleus Transfer (SCNT) and their Offspring and Products Obtained from those Animals  
<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/topics/topic/cloning.htm?wtrl=01>

21a

***<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/doc/2794.pdf>***

Or. en

## **Amendment 45**

### **Valentinas Mazuronis**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Recital 2**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(2) The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has confirmed that surrogate dams used in cloning suffer in particular from placenta dysfunctions contributing to increased levels of miscarriages<sup>21</sup>. This contributes, amongst other things, to the low efficiency of the technique, 6 to 15 % for bovine and 6 % for porcine species, and the need to implant embryo clones into several dams to obtain one clone. ***In addition***, clone abnormalities and

##### *Amendment*

(2) The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has confirmed that surrogate dams used in cloning suffer in particular from placenta dysfunctions contributing to increased levels of miscarriages<sup>21</sup>. This contributes, amongst other things, to the low efficiency of the technique, 6 to 15 % for bovine and 6 % for porcine species, and the need to implant embryo clones into several dams to obtain one clone. Clone abnormalities and unusually large offspring



unusually large offspring result in difficult births and neonatal deaths.

result in difficult births and neonatal death.  
***That is why the cloning technique is associated with a high mortality rate. In addition, cloning adversely affects biodiversity and genetic inheritance and creates problems related to resistance to emerging risk factors and new zoonotic agents entering Europe.***

---

<sup>21</sup> Scientific Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food Safety, Animal Health and Welfare and Environmental Impact of Animals derived from Cloning by Somatic Cell Nucleus Transfer (SCNT) and their Offspring and Products Obtained from those Animals  
<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/topics/topic/cloning.htm?wtrl=01>.

---

<sup>21</sup> Scientific Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food Safety, Animal Health and Welfare and Environmental Impact of Animals derived from Cloning by Somatic Cell Nucleus Transfer (SCNT) and their Offspring and Products Obtained from those Animals  
<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/topics/topic/cloning.htm?wtrl=01>.

Or. It

#### **Amendment 46**

**Sylvie Goddyn, Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand**

#### **Proposal for a directive Recital 2**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(2) The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has confirmed that surrogate dams used in cloning suffer in particular from placenta dysfunctions contributing to increased levels of miscarriages<sup>21</sup>. This contributes, amongst other things, to the low efficiency of the technique, 6 to 15 % for bovine and 6 % for porcine species, and the need to implant embryo clones into several dams to obtain one clone. In addition, clone abnormalities and unusually large offspring result in difficult births and neonatal deaths.

##### *Amendment*

(2) The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has confirmed that surrogate dams used in cloning suffer in particular from placenta dysfunctions contributing to increased levels of miscarriages<sup>21</sup>. Surrogate dams suffer in particular from placenta dysfunctions contributing to increased levels of miscarriages<sup>21</sup>. This contributes, amongst other things, to the low efficiency of the technique, 6 to 15 % for bovine and 6 % for porcine species, and the need to implant embryo clones into several dams to obtain one clone. In addition, clone abnormalities and unusually large offspring result in difficult births and neonatal deaths. ***What is more, surrogate***

***dams have to undergo extensive hormonal treatments which undermine their general well-being and involve the use of drugs which pose a threat to animal and human health and to the environment when excreted.***

---

<sup>21</sup> Scientific Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food Safety, Animal Health and Welfare and Environmental Impact of Animals derived from Cloning by Somatic Cell Nucleus Transfer (SCNT) and their Offspring and Products Obtained from those Animals  
<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/topics/topic/cloning.htm>.

---

<sup>21</sup> Scientific Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food Safety, Animal Health and Welfare and Environmental Impact of Animals derived from Cloning by Somatic Cell Nucleus Transfer (SCNT) and their Offspring and Products Obtained from those Animals  
<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/topics/topic/cloning.htm>.

Or. fr

## **Amendment 47**

### **Nicola Caputo**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Recital 2**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(2) The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has confirmed that surrogate dams used in cloning suffer in particular from placenta dysfunctions contributing to increased levels of miscarriages<sup>21</sup>. This contributes, amongst other things, to the low efficiency of the technique, 6 to 15 % for bovine and 6 % for porcine species, and the need to implant embryo clones into several dams to obtain one clone. In addition, clone abnormalities and unusually large offspring result in difficult births and neonatal deaths.

---

<sup>21</sup> Scientific Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food Safety, Animal Health

##### *Amendment*

(2) The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has confirmed that surrogate dams used in cloning suffer in particular from placenta dysfunctions contributing to increased levels of miscarriages<sup>21</sup>. This contributes, amongst other things, to the low efficiency of the technique, 6 to 15 % for bovine and 6 % for porcine species, and the need to implant embryo clones into several dams to obtain one clone. In addition, clone abnormalities and unusually large offspring result in difficult births and neonatal deaths ***and may have adverse effects on the health of surrogate mothers.***

---

<sup>21</sup> Scientific Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food Safety, Animal Health

and Welfare and Environmental Impact of Animals derived from Cloning by Somatic Cell Nucleus Transfer (SCNT) and their Offspring and Products Obtained from those Animals  
<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/topics/topic/cloning.htm?wtrl=01>.

and Welfare and Environmental Impact of Animals derived from Cloning by Somatic Cell Nucleus Transfer (SCNT) and their Offspring and Products Obtained from those Animals  
<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/topics/topic/cloning.htm?wtrl=01>.

Or. it

**Amendment 48**  
**Momchil Nekov**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(2) The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has confirmed that surrogate dams used in cloning suffer in particular from placenta dysfunctions contributing to increased levels of miscarriages<sup>21</sup>. This **contributes**, amongst other things, to the low efficiency of the technique, 6 to 15 % for bovine and 6 % for porcine species, and the need to implant embryo clones into several dams to obtain one clone. In addition, clone abnormalities and unusually large offspring result in difficult births and neonatal deaths.

---

<sup>21</sup> Scientific Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food Safety, Animal Health and Welfare and Environmental Impact of Animals derived from Cloning by Somatic Cell Nucleus Transfer (SCNT) and their Offspring and Products Obtained from those Animals  
<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/topics/topic/cloning.htm?wtrl=01>

*Amendment*

(2) The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has confirmed that surrogate dams used in cloning suffer in particular from placenta dysfunctions contributing to increased levels of miscarriages<sup>21</sup>. This, amongst other things, **is conducive** to the low efficiency of the technique, 6 to 15 % for bovine and 6 % for porcine species, and **to** the need to implant embryo clones into several dams to obtain one clone. In addition, clone abnormalities and unusually large offspring result in difficult births and neonatal deaths.

---

<sup>21</sup> Scientific Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food Safety, Animal Health and Welfare and Environmental Impact of Animals derived from Cloning by Somatic Cell Nucleus Transfer (SCNT) and their Offspring and Products Obtained from those Animals  
<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/topics/topic/cloning.htm?wtrl=01>

Or. bg

#### **Amendment 49**

**José Bové, Bart Staes**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Recital 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(2a) As regards food safety, EFSA stresses the importance of acknowledging that the data base is limited, and concludes: 'Uncertainties in the risk assessment arise due to the limited number of studies available, the small sample sizes investigated and, in general, the absence of a uniform approach that would allow all the issues relevant to this opinion to be more satisfactorily addressed.' As an example, EFSA states that information is limited on the immunological competence of clones and recommends that, should evidence become available of reduced immunocompetence of clones, the question should be investigated as to 'whether, and if so, to what extent, consumption of meat and milk derived from clones or their offspring may lead to an increased human exposure to transmissible agents'<sup>1a</sup>.*

---

*1a*

*<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/doc/767.pdf>*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 50**

**José Bové, Bart Staes**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Recital 2 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(2b) As regards potential impacts on the environment, EFSA states that limited data is available<sup>1a</sup> and, with regard to potential impacts on genetic diversity, EFSA draws attention to the fact that there could be an indirect effect due to overuse of a limited number of animals in breeding programmes, and that increased homogeneity of a genotype within an animal population may increase the susceptibility of that population to infection and other risks<sup>1b</sup>.***

---

*1a*

***<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/doc/2794.pdf>***

*1b*

***<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/doc/767.pdf>***

Or. en

**Amendment 51**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 2 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(2b) Many cloned animals die prematurely from cardiovascular failure, immuno-deficiencies, liver failure, respiratory problems, kidney dysfunctions and musculoskeletal abnormalities.***

Or. it

**Amendment 52**  
**Marc Tarabella**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 2 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(2b) In its 2008 report on cloning, the European Working Party on Ethics in the Sciences and New Technologies stated that there was no convincing argument to justify food production using cloned animals or their descendants.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 53**  
**Damiano Zoffoli**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 2 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(2b) According to the case-law of the Court of Justice of the European Union, Article 43 TFEU is the appropriate legal basis for any legislation concerning the production and marketing of agricultural products listed in Annex I TFEU which contributes to the achievement of one or more of the objectives of the common agricultural policy set out in Article 39 TFEU. Article 43 can also be taken as the basis for the adoption of legislation that addresses objectives other than those of the common agricultural policy, making it unnecessary to have recourse to Article 114, which is normally applied, in the absence of specific provisions, whenever there is a need to adopt measures relating to the approximation of the provisions of Member State law which have as their object the establishment and functioning of the internal market.***

Or. it

**Amendment 54**

**Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Pavel Poc, Karin Kadenbach, Damiano Zoffoli, Viorica Dăncilă**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 2 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(2b) Consumer research has shown the existence of clear and consistent opposition, throughout the Union, to the use of cloning in food production.***

Or. en

**Amendment 55**

**Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 2 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(2b) In its resolution of 3 September 2008 on the cloning of animals for food supply<sup>1a</sup>, the European Parliament called on the Commission to submit proposals prohibiting, for food supply purposes, (i) the cloning of animals, (ii) the farming of cloned animals or their descendants, (iii) the placing on the market of meat or dairy products derived from cloned animals or their descendants and (iv) the importing of cloned animals, their descendants, semen and embryos from cloned animals or their descendants, and meat or dairy products derived from cloned animals or their descendants.***

---

<sup>1a</sup> OJ C 295 E, 4.12.2009, p. 42.

Or. en

**Amendment 56**  
**Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 2 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(2c) The cloning of animals is incompatible with point 20 of the Annex to Council Directive 98/58/EC, which states that natural or artificial breeding procedures which cause, or are likely to cause, suffering or injury to any of the animals concerned must not be practised. Food from cloned animals or from their descendants should therefore not be placed on the Union market.***

Or. en

**Amendment 57**  
**Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 2 d (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(2d) The cloning of animals for food production is already taking place in third countries such as Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada and the United States. Those countries have indicated during the consultation process that animals are being cloned on their territories. It is therefore appropriate to regulate comprehensively and adequately the prohibition of the cloning of animals for food production at Union level, in order to prevent products from cloned animals and their descendants from being placed on the Union market and entering the food chain.***



**Amendment 58**  
**Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA **and** the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 **of the Treaty**, it is prudent to **provisionally** prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for **farm** purposes **of certain species**.

*Amendment*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's **common** agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA, the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 **TFEU**, **and the fact that the majority of Union citizens disapprove of cloning for food production due to animal welfare and general ethical concerns**, it is prudent to **fully** prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for **farming** purposes **or for sporting or cultural events**.

**Amendment 59**  
**Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Pavel Poc, Karin Kadenbach, Damiano Zoffoli, Viorica Dăncilă**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA **and** the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is **prudent to provisionally** prohibit **the use of** cloning **in** animal production for **farm** purposes **of certain species**.

*Amendment*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA, the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, **and the concerns of citizens, it is appropriate to** prohibit cloning **for** animal production for **farming** purposes **in the Union and the sale in the Union of products derived from such cloning which takes place in third countries**.

**Amendment 60**  
**Bas Belder**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is ***prudent*** to provisionally prohibit the use of cloning in animal production ***for farm purposes of certain species***.

*Amendment*

(3) Taking into account ***ethical objections***, the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is ***essential*** to prohibit the use of cloning in animal production. ***The Union should make the utmost effort to seek support in international fora for a worldwide ban on cloning for the above reasons and, in addition, a level playing field.***

**Amendment 61**  
**Zoltán Balczó**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent ***scientific*** assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is prudent to ***provisionally*** prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for farm purposes of certain species.

*Amendment*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is prudent to prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for farm purposes of certain species

## Amendment 62

Nicola Caputo

### Proposal for a directive

#### Recital 3

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA **and** the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is prudent to **provisionally** prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for farm purposes of certain species.

##### *Amendment*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA, the animal welfare requirement provided **for** in Article 13 of the Treaty **and ethical issues relating to animal cloning**, it is prudent to prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for farm purposes of certain species.

Or. it

## Amendment 63

Ulrike Müller

### Proposal for a directive

#### Recital 3

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the **recent** scientific assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is prudent to provisionally prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for farm purposes **of certain species**.

##### *Amendment*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the **continuously confirmed** scientific assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is prudent to provisionally prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for farm purposes.

Or. en

## Amendment 64

Pilar Ayuso, Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 *of the Treaty*, it is prudent to provisionally prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for *farm* purposes of certain species.

*Amendment*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's **common** agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA ***based on the available scientific studies***, and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 **TFEU**, it is prudent to provisionally prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for ***farming*** purposes of certain species.

Or. es

**Amendment 65**  
**Tibor Szanyi**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is prudent to provisionally prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for farm purposes of certain species.

*Amendment*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is prudent to provisionally prohibit the use of cloning in animal production ***and the import of clones*** for farm purposes of certain species.

Or. en

**Amendment 66**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is prudent to provisionally prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for farm purposes of certain species.

*Amendment*

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is prudent to provisionally prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for farm purposes of certain species. ***Whereas farmers have confirmed the need for effective genetic material, including through cloning, in order to remain competitive, and research should be intensified and the long-term effects of cloning studied more closely.***

Or. ro

**Amendment 67**

**Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(3a) Although animal welfare concerns might not be apparent in the case of descendants of cloned animals, as they are born by means of conventional sexual reproduction, in order for there to even be a descendant, a cloned animal progenitor is required, which entails significant animal welfare and ethical concerns. It is therefore appropriate to prohibit the placing on the Union market of descendants of animal clones and their products and to prevent the entry into the food chain of those products.***

Or. en

**Amendment 68**

**Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Pavel Poc, Karin Kadenbach, Damiano Zoffoli, Viorica Dăncilă**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(3a) In order to establish whether animals are clones or are descended from clones, and whether foods of animal origin are derived from cloned animals or from descendants of cloned animals, it is necessary for import certificates to indicate information to that effect. The Commission should therefore propose the necessary changes to relevant zootechnical and animal health legislation.***

Or. en

**Amendment 69**

**Frédérique Ries**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(3a) Traceability measures for semen, eggs and embryos from cloned animals and for the live offspring of cloned animals should be put in place as proposed by the European Parliament on 29 March 2011 at the conciliation meeting on novel foods and in the motion for a resolution on 'cloning of animals' adopted by the European Parliament's Committee on Environment, Public Health and Food Safety on 20 February 2014.***

Or. en

**Amendment 70**  
**Frédérique Ries**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 3 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(3b) In its 2010 report to the European Parliament and the Council, the Commission stated that measures to establish the traceability of imports of semen and embryos in order to set up data banks of offspring in the Union were appropriate. The Commission should therefore act accordingly.***

Or. en

**Amendment 71**  
**Marc Tarabella**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 3 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(3b) Animal cloning for food production purposes jeopardises the defining characteristics of the European farming model, which is based on product quality, food safety, consumer health, strict animal welfare rules and the employment of environmentally sound methods.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 72**  
**Elisabeth Köstinger, Albert Deß**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 3 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(3b) In harmony with the implementation of the ban on cloning which is laid down in this regulation, targeted trade promotion measures adopted by the Commission should be applied in order to support high-quality European meat production and animal husbandry.***

Or. de

**Amendment 73**

**Ulrike Müller**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4) Currently animals of bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species are likely to be cloned for farming purposes. The scope of this Directive should therefore be limited to the use of cloning for farming purposes of those five species.***

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 74**

**Ivo Belet, Tom Vandenkendelaere**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4) Currently animals of bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species are likely to be cloned for farming purposes. The scope of this Directive should therefore be limited to the use of cloning for farming purposes of those five species.***

***deleted***



**Amendment 75**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4) Currently animals of bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species are likely to be cloned for farming purposes. The scope of this Directive should therefore be limited to the use of cloning for farming purposes of those five species.***

***deleted***

**Amendment 76**  
**Sylvie Goddyn, Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand**  
**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4) Currently animals of bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species are likely to be cloned for farming purposes. The scope of this Directive should therefore be limited to the use of cloning for farming purposes of those five species.***

***(4) Currently animals of bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species are likely to be cloned for farming purposes. Cloning thus primarily involves mammals, even though the latter are acknowledged as being particularly sensitive. The provisions of this Directive should therefore be extended to cover all mammals. Given the cost of a clone, it is clear that cloned animals are not themselves intended for consumption, but rather for use for breeding purposes. In terms of volume, the impact on food production will stem primarily from clone descendants, rather than from clones themselves.***

**Amendment 77**  
**Zoltán Balczó**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(4) Currently animals of bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species ***are likely to*** be cloned for farming purposes. The scope of this Directive should therefore be ***limited*** to the use of cloning for farming purposes ***of*** those ***five*** species.

*Amendment*

(4) Currently animals of bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species ***may*** be cloned for farming purposes. The scope of this Directive should therefore be ***extended*** to the use of ***all*** cloning for farming purposes ***over and above*** those species

Or. hu

**Amendment 78**  
**Ulrike Müller**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4a) The majority of Union citizens disapprove of cloning for food production due to animal welfare and general ethical concerns. They do not want to consume food from animal clones. In order to address consumer perceptions on cloning linked to animal welfare concerns, it is necessary to ensure that food from animal clones does not enter the food chain. Less restrictive measures, such as food labelling, would not entirely address citizens' concerns since the marketing of food produced with a technique that implies animal suffering would still be allowed. Animal cloning is allowed in certain third countries. Measures should therefore be taken to avoid the importation into the Union of food obtained from animal clones produced in those third countries.***

Or. en

**Amendment 79**  
**Beata Gosiewska**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4a) The majority of EU citizens disapprove of cloning for farming purposes due to, inter alia, animal welfare concerns, the lack of adequate research and general ethical concerns. Cloning for farming purposes could lead to animal clones or the descendants of animal clones entering the food chain. Consumers do not want to consume food from animal clones or their descendants.***

Or. pl

**Amendment 80**  
**Damiano Zoffoli**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4a) The majority of EU citizens disapprove of cloning for farming purposes owing, inter alia, to animal welfare considerations, general ethical considerations and the fact that cloning for farming purposes might lead to cloned animals or their progeny being allowed to enter the food chain. Indeed, most EU citizens are essentially opposed to the consumption of such products.***

Or. it

**Amendment 81**  
**José Bové, Bart Staes**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4a) The majority of Union citizens disapprove of cloning for farming purposes due to, inter alia, animal welfare and general ethical concerns<sup>1a</sup>. Cloning for farming purposes could lead to animal clones or the descendants of animal clones entering the food chain. Consumers do not want to consume food from animal clones or from their descendants.***

---

<sup>1a</sup> See e.g. Eurobarometer reports of 2008 and 2010:

*[http://ec.europa.eu/public\\_opinion/flash/fl\\_238\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/public_opinion/flash/fl_238_en.pdf) and  
[http://ec.europa.eu/public\\_opinion/archives/ebs/ebs\\_341\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/public_opinion/archives/ebs/ebs_341_en.pdf)*

Or. en

*Justification*

*The purpose of this amendment is simply to give a footnote example to amendment 7 by the Co-Rapporteurs.*

**Amendment 82**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4a) Most EU citizens disapprove of cloning in animal production for farming purposes on grounds that include animal welfare.***

Or. es

**Amendment 83**  
**Iratxe García Pérez**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4a) Most EU citizens disapprove of cloning in animal production for farming purposes on grounds that include animal welfare.***

Or. es

**Amendment 84**

**José Bové, Bart Staes**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 4 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4b) The prohibition of the cloning of animals, of the placing on the market of animal clones, embryo clones, descendants of animal clones, germinal products of animal clones and of their descendants, and of the placing on the market of food from animal clones and their descendants is a measure that is necessary in order to protect public morals and animal health, within the meaning of Article XX of GATT.***

Or. en

**Amendment 85**

**Beata Gosiewska**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 4 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4b) With regard to the marketing of agricultural products, in connection with the ban on the use of cloning and in order to address consumer perceptions on cloning linked to, inter alia, animal welfare, the lack of adequate research and general ethical concerns, it is necessary to ensure that food from animal clones and their descendants does not enter the food chain. Less restrictive measures, such as food labelling, would not entirely address citizens' concerns since the marketing of food produced with a technique that involves animal suffering would still be allowed.***

Or. pl

**Amendment 86**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4b) With regard to the marketing of agricultural products, it is necessary to ensure that food derived from animal clones does not enter the food chain.***

Or. es

**Amendment 87**  
**Iratxe García Pérez**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4b) With regard to the marketing of agricultural products, it is necessary to ensure that food derived from animal clones does not enter the food chain.***

Or. es

**Amendment 88**  
**José Bové, Bart Staes**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4c) Animal clones are not produced in***

*order to serve for meat or milk production, but for breeding purposes. By contrast, it is the sexually reproduced descendants of animal clones which become the food-producing animals. Measures aimed at addressing animal welfare concerns and consumers' perceptions relating to the cloning technique should therefore include within their scope products derived from descendants of cloned animals.*

Or. en

**Amendment 89**  
**Sirpa Pietikäinen**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(4c) The use of the cloning method in food production is explicitly based on the production of descendants from cloned animals. It is therefore imperative to include a ban of the use of descendants into the scope of this legislation.*

Or. en

**Amendment 90**  
**Beata Gosiewska**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(4c) The use of cloning in animal production for farming purposes is allowed in certain third countries. In accordance with Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 of the European Parliament*



*and of the Council<sup>1a</sup>, food imported from third countries for the purpose of being marketed within the Union must comply with the relevant requirements of EU food law or with conditions recognised by the Union as being at least equivalent to those requirements. Therefore, measures should be taken to avoid the import into the Union of cloned animals, descendants, embryos and semen and food obtained from animal clones and their descendants produced in third countries.*

---

*<sup>1a</sup> Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 January 2002 laying down the general principles and requirements of food law, establishing the European Food Safety Authority and laying down procedures in matters of food safety (OJ L 31, 1.2.2002, p. 1).*

Or. pl

**Amendment 91**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(4c) The use of cloning in animal production for farming purposes is allowed in certain third countries. In accordance with Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council, food imported from third countries for placing on the market within the Union must comply with the relevant requirements of Union food law or conditions recognised by the Union to be at least equivalent to those requirements.*

Or. es

**Amendment 92**  
**Iratxe García Pérez**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4c) The use of cloning in animal production for farming purposes is allowed in certain third countries. In accordance with Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council, food imported from third countries for placing on the market within the Union must comply with the relevant requirements of Union food law or conditions recognised by the Union to be at least equivalent to those requirements.***

Or. es

**Amendment 93**  
**Marc Tarabella**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4c) Steps should be taken to ensure that trade agreements which are currently being negotiated do not encourage the authorisation of practices which may have an adverse effect on the health of consumers and farmers, on the environment and on animal welfare. With that aim in view, measures are needed to ban imports of meat from cloned animals or their descendants.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 94**  
**Frédérique Ries**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4c) Cloning carried out for research, for the preservation of rare breeds or endangered species and for the production of medicinal products and medical devices should be excluded from the scope of this legislation.***

Or. en

**Amendment 95**  
**Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(5) It is expected that the knowledge on the impact of the cloning technique on the welfare of the animals used will increase. The cloning technique is likely to improve over time. Consequently prohibitions should only apply provisionally. This Directive should therefore be reviewed within a reasonable time taking into account the experience gained by the Member States in its implementation, scientific and technical progress and international developments.***

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 96**  
**Sylvie Goddyn, Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Edouard Ferrand, Philippe**

Loiseau

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(5) It is expected that the knowledge on the impact of the cloning technique on the welfare of the animals used will increase. The cloning technique is likely to improve over time. Consequently prohibitions should only apply provisionally. This Directive should therefore be reviewed within a reasonable time taking into account the experience gained by the Member States in its implementation, scientific and technical progress and international developments.***

***deleted***

Or. fr

**Amendment 97**  
**Tibor Szanyi**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(5) It is expected that the knowledge on the impact of the cloning technique on the welfare of the animals used will increase. The cloning technique is likely to improve over time. Consequently prohibitions should only apply provisionally. This Directive should therefore be reviewed within a reasonable time taking into account the experience gained by the Member States in its implementation, scientific and technical progress and international developments.***

**(5) The cloning technique is likely to improve over time. Consequently prohibitions should only apply provisionally. This Directive should therefore be reviewed within a reasonable time taking into account the experience gained by the Member States in its implementation, scientific and technical progress and international developments.**

Or. en

**Amendment 98**  
**Zoltán Balczó**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(5) It is expected that the knowledge on the impact of the cloning technique on the welfare of the animals used will increase. The cloning technique is likely to improve over time. Consequently prohibitions should **only** apply **provisionally**. This Directive **should** therefore be reviewed within a reasonable time taking into account the experience gained by the Member States in its implementation, scientific and technical progress and international developments.

*Amendment*

(5) It is expected that the knowledge on the impact of the cloning technique on the welfare of the animals used will increase. The cloning technique is likely to improve over time. Consequently prohibitions should apply **at the present time**. This Directive **may** therefore be reviewed within a reasonable time taking into account the experience gained by the Member States in its implementation, scientific and technical progress and international developments.

Or. hu

**Amendment 99**  
**Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(5) It is expected that **the** knowledge **on** the impact of the cloning technique on the welfare of the animals used will increase. The cloning technique is likely to improve over time. Consequently prohibitions should only apply provisionally. This **Directive** should therefore be reviewed within a reasonable time taking into account the experience gained by the Member States in its implementation, scientific and technical progress **and** international developments.

*Amendment*

(5) It is expected that knowledge **of** the impact of the cloning technique on the welfare of the animals used, **and on food and health**, will increase. The cloning technique is likely to improve over time. Consequently prohibitions should only apply provisionally. This **Regulation** should therefore be reviewed within a reasonable time taking into account the experience gained by the Member States in its implementation, scientific and technical progress, international developments **and consumers' perceptions**.

Or. en

## Amendment 100

Nicola Caputo

### Proposal for a directive

#### Recital 5

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(5) It is expected that the knowledge on the impact of the cloning technique on the welfare of the animals used will increase. The cloning technique is likely to improve over time. Consequently prohibitions should only apply provisionally. This Directive should therefore be reviewed within a reasonable time taking into account the experience gained by the Member States in its implementation, scientific and technical progress and international developments.

##### *Amendment*

(5) It is expected that the knowledge on the impact of the cloning technique on the welfare of the animals used will increase. The cloning technique is likely to improve over time. Consequently prohibitions should only apply provisionally. This Directive should therefore be reviewed within a reasonable time taking into account the experience gained by the Member States in its implementation, scientific and technical progress, ***the views of the EU public*** and international developments.

Or. it

## Amendment 101

Fredrick Federley, Ulrike Müller

### Proposal for a directive

#### Recital 5 a (new)

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

##### *Amendment*

***(5a) A traceability system for reproductive material from cloned animals should only be introduced if it is technically feasible and does not impose additional administrative burdens on authorities and operators, also as regards imports.***

Or. en

**Amendment 102**

**Sylvie Goddyn, Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 5 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(5a) Even using the latest scientific methods, it is impossible to determine a posteriori whether an animal is a clone or a descendant of a clone, whether a carcass is that of a clone or a descendant of a clone or whether a piece of meat comes from a clone or a descendant of a clone. The only way of guaranteeing traceability, and thus provision of the information which consumers need in order to make a choice in full knowledge of the facts, is to establish a traceability system from the time sperm is taken, in the case of descendants, or cells are taken, in the case of the clone itself. Nevertheless, the experts heard agree that traceability remains a very complex matter and may not even be economically viable.*

Or. fr

*Justification*

*Decisions to ban imports in particular must take account of the uncertainties surrounding traceability.*

**Amendment 103**

**Christofer Fjellner**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 5 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(5a) This Directive should be implemented in such a manner that compliance with*

*the Union's international obligations on sanitary and phytosanitary measures is guaranteed.*

Or. en

**Amendment 104**  
**Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 5 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(5a) The lack of long international practical experience, in relation to food from cloned animals and all the possible consequences for human and animal health and for biodiversity should be considered. This fact, in addition to consumers' concerns and preferences, means that the precautionary principle constitutes, for the time being, an important factor to be taken into account when legislation on cloning is proposed.*

Or. en

**Amendment 105**  
**Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 5 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(5b) According to the latest Eurobarometer survey, the majority of Europeans do not consider that animal cloning in food production is safe for their health and that of their family. Additionally, when it comes to animal cloning, there are more countries in Europe with a clear preference for*



*decisions to be taken primarily from the standpoint of moral and ethical issues, than on the basis of scientific evidence. Therefore, before this legislation is reviewed, a new EUSurvey should be carried out to reassess consumers' perceptions.*

Or. en

*Justification*

*Several years after the publication of results of Eurobarometers "Attitudes towards animal cloning" (2008) and "Europeans and Biotechnology" (2010), it is recommended to undertake a new public consultation to assess new trends on consumers' perceptions of food products from cloned animals and/or to address any new aspects covered by cloning techniques.*

**Amendment 106**  
**Marc Tarabella**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 5 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(5b) Due account should be taken of the findings of the Eurobarometer report on biotechnologies, in which a majority of the EU citizens questioned voice serious reservations concerning the cloning of animals for food production purposes, see no benefits in such practices and do not think that they should be encouraged.*

Or. fr

*Justification*

*Special Eurobarometer, Biotechnology report, October 2010*

**Amendment 107**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 5 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(5b) The power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 TFEU should be delegated to the Commission in respect of the establishment of rules for traceability systems for animal clones. It is especially important that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, particularly at expert level. When preparing and drawing up delegated acts, the Commission should ensure that the relevant documents are forwarded to the European Parliament and to the Council simultaneously, in a timely and appropriate manner.***

Or. es

**Amendment 108**  
**Iratxe García Pérez**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 5 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(5b) The power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 TFEU should be delegated to the Commission in respect of the establishment of rules for traceability systems for animal clones. It is especially important that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, particularly at expert level. When preparing and drawing up delegated acts, the Commission should ensure that the relevant documents are forwarded to the European Parliament and to the Council simultaneously, in a timely and appropriate manner.***

**Amendment 109**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 5 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(5b) The Commission must be empowered to act in accordance with Article 290 TFEU to adopt rules on traceability systems for animal clones. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at experts level. The Commission, when preparing and drawing up delegated acts, should ensure a simultaneous timely and appropriate transmission of relevant documents to the European Parliament and to the Council.***

**Amendment 110**  
**Sylvie Goddyn, Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Sophie Montel**  
**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 6**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(6) This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, **and** notably the freedom to conduct a business and the freedom of the sciences. This Directive has to be implemented in accordance with

(6) This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, notably the freedom to conduct a business and the freedom of the sciences **and, above all, consumers' freedom of choice and food safety**. This Directive has to be

these rights and principles.

implemented in accordance with these rights and principles *and with Article 13 of the Lisbon Treaty. These provisions accord the same importance to animal welfare as to the other fundamental principles referred to in that title of the Treaty, i.e. the promotion of equality between men and women, the guarantee of adequate social protection, the protection of human health, the combating of discrimination, the promotion of sustainable development and the protection of consumers and personal data. What is more, paragraph 17 of Parliament's resolution of 4 July 2012 on the European Union Strategy for the Protection and Welfare of Animals 2012–2015 states it should be obligatory to inform consumers whether an imported product, or a product containing an imported product, is made from animals that were kept under conditions different from those required by European animal welfare regulations.*

Or. fr

#### *Justification*

*Recital 3 makes a brief reference to Article 13 of the Lisbon Treaty, but seems to disregard the thrust of that article. Animal welfare should be given its proper place at the heart of the directive, since it is the importance attached to this topic which informs EU citizens' opposition to cloning. Parliament's resolution of 4 July 2012 on the European Union Strategy for the Protection and Welfare of Animals 2012–2015 makes it clear that the provision of information to consumers should be obligatory.*

#### **Amendment 111**

**Sylvie Goddyn, Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) the cloning of animals in the Union;

*Amendment*

(a) the cloning of **mammals and** animals

*kept and reproduced for farming purposes*  
in the Union;

Or. fr

**Amendment 112**

**Sylvie Goddyn, Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) the cloning of animals in the Union;

*Amendment*

(a) the cloning of animals in the Union *and the use of such animals for breeding purposes*;

Or. fr

**Amendment 113**

**Sylvie Goddyn, Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of *embryo clones and animal clones*.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market of *cloned mammals and animals kept and reproduced for farming purposes and of food and non-food products made from cloned animals and their descendants*.

Or. fr

**Amendment 114**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of embryo clones **and** animal clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market **and importation** of embryo clones, animal clones **and living products of animal clones**.

Or. nl

**Amendment 115**

**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of embryo clones and animal clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market **and import** of embryo clones and animal clones.

Or. es

*Justification*

*The ban must cover animal clones and embryo clones.*

**Amendment 116**

**Iratxe García Pérez**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of embryo clones and animal clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market **and import** of embryo clones and animal clones.

Or. es

*Justification*

*The ban must cover animal clones and embryo clones.*

**Amendment 117**  
**Zoltán Balczó**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of embryo clones **and** animal clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market of embryo clones, animal clones, **reproductive materials and products derived therefrom**.

Or. hu

**Amendment 118**  
**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of embryo clones and animal clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market of embryo clones and animal clones, **and food originating from embryo clones and animal clones**;

Or. en

**Amendment 119**  
**Ulrike Müller**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of embryo clones and animal clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market of embryo clones and **food from** animal clones.

Or. en

**Amendment 120**  
**Ivo Belet, Tom Vandenkendelaere**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*It shall apply to animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species ('the animals') kept and reproduced for farming purposes.*

*deleted*

Or. nl

**Amendment 121**  
**Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

It shall apply to *animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine* species ('the animals') kept and reproduced for farming purposes.

It shall apply to *all* species *of* animals kept and reproduced for farming purposes *or for sporting or cultural events*.

Or. en

**Amendment 122**  
**Ulrike Müller**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

It shall apply to animals *of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine* species ('the animals') kept and reproduced for farming purposes.

It shall apply to animals kept and reproduced for farming purposes.



**Amendment 123**  
**Marc Tarabella**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

It shall apply to animals *of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species* ('the animals') *kept and reproduced for farming purposes*.

*Amendment*

It shall apply to ***all*** animals ('the animals') kept and reproduced for farming purposes.

Or. fr

**Amendment 124**  
**Zoltán Balczó**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

It shall apply to animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species ('the animals') kept and reproduced for farming purposes.

*Amendment*

It shall apply to ***all*** animals – ***particularly*** of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species – ('the animals') kept and reproduced for farming purposes.

Or. hu

**Amendment 125**  
**José Bové, Bart Staes**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***Article 1a***

### *Objective*

*The objective of this Regulation is to address concerns relating to animal health and welfare and to consumers' perceptions and ethical considerations with regard to the cloning technique.*

Or. en

### **Amendment 126**

**Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point a**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) animals “kept and reproduced for farming purposes” means animals kept and reproduced for the production of food, wool, skin or fur or for other farming purposes. It shall not include animals kept and reproduced exclusively for other purposes such as research, the production of medicinal products and medical devices, the preservation of rare breeds or endangered species, *sporting and cultural events*;

##### *Amendment*

(a) animals “kept and reproduced for farming purposes” means animals kept and reproduced for the production of food, wool, skin or fur or for other farming purposes *or for sporting or cultural events*. It shall not include animals kept and reproduced exclusively for other purposes such as research, the production of medicinal products and medical devices, the preservation of rare breeds or endangered species;

Or. en

### **Amendment 127**

**José Bové, Bart Staes**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point a**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) animals “kept and reproduced for farming purposes” means animals kept and reproduced for the production of food, wool, skin or fur or for other farming

##### *Amendment*

(a) animals “kept and reproduced for farming purposes” (*“the animals”*) means animals kept and reproduced for the production of food, wool, skin or fur or for

purposes. It shall not include animals kept and reproduced exclusively for *other purposes such as research, the production of medicinal products and medical devices, the preservation of rare breeds or endangered species, sporting and cultural events*;

other farming purposes, *such as the preservation of rare breeds*. It shall not include animals kept and reproduced exclusively for research *purposes*;

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*Conventional breeding techniques should be tried first before resorting to cloning for rare species.*

#### **Amendment 128**

**Elisabeth Köstinger, Albert Deß, Renate Sommer**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point a**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) animals “kept and reproduced for farming purposes” means animals kept and reproduced for the production of food, wool, skin or fur or for other farming purposes. It shall not include animals kept and reproduced exclusively for other purposes such as research, the production of medicinal products and medical devices, *the preservation of rare breeds or endangered species, sporting and cultural events*;

##### *Amendment*

(a) animals “kept and reproduced for farming purposes” means animals kept and reproduced for the production of food, wool, skin or fur or for other farming purposes. It shall not include animals kept and reproduced exclusively for other purposes such as research *or* the production of medicinal products and medical devices;

Or. de

#### **Amendment 129**

**Momchil Nekov**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) animals “kept and reproduced for farming purposes” means animals kept and reproduced for the production of food, wool, skin or fur or for other farming purposes. It shall not include animals kept and reproduced exclusively for other purposes such as research, ***the production of medicinal products and medical devices***, the preservation of rare breeds or endangered species, ***sporting and cultural events***;

*Amendment*

(a) animals “kept and reproduced for farming purposes” means animals kept and reproduced for the production of food, wool, skin or fur or for other farming purposes. It shall not include animals kept and reproduced exclusively for other purposes such as research ***or*** the preservation of rare breeds or endangered species;

Or. bg

**Amendment 130**

**José Bové, Bart Staes**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) “cloning” means asexual reproduction of animals with a technique ***whereby the nucleus of a cell of an individual animal is transferred into an oocyte from which the nucleus has been removed to create genetically identical individual embryos (‘embryo clones’), that can subsequently be implanted into surrogate mothers in order to produce populations of genetically identical animals (‘animal clone’)***;

*Amendment*

(b) “cloning” means asexual reproduction of animals with a technique ***aimed at producing a*** genetically identical ***or nearly identical copy of an individual animal***;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Although SCNT (somatic cell nuclear transfer) is the cloning technique which is used most frequently, other techniques exist, even though some might not be ready for use in farm animals yet. Examples are the splitting of embryos or the direct reprogramming of somatic cells and turning them into embryonic cells. The definition provided by the Commission would*

*only cover animal clones produced by SCNT.*

#### **Amendment 131**

**Aldo Patriciello**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point b**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) “cloning” means asexual reproduction of animals ***with a technique whereby*** the nucleus of a cell of an individual animal is transferred into an oocyte from which the nucleus has been ***removed*** to create genetically identical individual embryos ("embryo clones"), that can subsequently be implanted into surrogate mothers in order to produce populations of genetically identical animals ("animal clone");

##### *Amendment*

(b) “cloning” means asexual reproduction of animals ***using a process by which*** the nucleus of a cell of an individual animal is transferred into an oocyte from which the nucleus has been ***extracted*** to create genetically identical individual embryos ("embryo clones"), that can subsequently be implanted into surrogate mothers in order to produce populations of genetically identical animals ("animal clone");

Or. it

#### **Amendment 132**

**José Bové, Bart Staes**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

##### *Amendment*

***(ba) ‘embryo clones’ means genetically identical individual embryos;***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 133**

**José Bové, Bart Staes**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point b b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(bb) ‘animal clones’ means genetically identical animals;**

Or. en

**Amendment 134**  
**Zoltán Balczó**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) “placing on the market” means the **first** making available of an animal **or** a product on the internal market.

(c) “placing on the market” means: the making available of an animal, product **or reproductive material** on the internal market.

Or. hu

**Amendment 135**  
**Zoltán Balczó**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 – title**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**Provisional** prohibition

Prohibition

Or. hu

**Amendment 136**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 – title**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**Provisional** prohibition

Prohibition

Or. hu

*Justification*

*I agree with the rapporteurs that it is important to establish from the outset that cloning, in the interests of ethics and of animal health and well-being, should remain a prohibited activity.*

**Amendment 137**

**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**Member States shall provisionally prohibit:**

**The following shall be prohibited:**

Or. hu

*Justification*

*I agree with the rapporteurs that it is important to establish from the outset that cloning, in the interests of ethics and of animal health and well-being, should remain a prohibited activity.*

**Amendment 138**

**Zoltán Balczó**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

Member States shall **provisionally** prohibit:

Member States shall prohibit:

Or. hu

**Amendment 139**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones **and** embryo clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market **and import into the European Union** of animal clones, embryo clones, **descendants of animal clones, germinal products of animal clones and of their descendants, and food or feed from animal clones and their descendants.**

Or. hu

*Justification*

*It is necessary to extend the ban on cloning for animal health reasons to feedstuffs of animal origin (typically dog or cat food may contain compounds originating from cloned animals).*

**Amendment 140**  
**György Hölvényi**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and embryo clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market **and import into the European Union** of animal clones, embryo clones, **descendants of animal clones, germinal products of animal clones and of their descendants, and food or feed from animal clones and their descendants.**

Or. hu



*Justification*

*It is necessary to extend the ban on cloning for animal health reasons to feedstuffs of animal origin (typically dog or cat food may contain compounds originating from cloned animals).*

**Amendment 141**

**Frédérique Ries**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and embryo clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones, ***their immediate descendants*** and embryo clones.

Or. en

*Justification*

*The food from immediate descendant (offspring) should be considered food from clones, the food from second and third-generation descendants from cloned animals should be considered as the same as any other food produced from animals.*

**Amendment 142**

**Zoltán Balczó**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones ***and*** embryo clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones, embryo clones, ***and products and reproductive materials derived therefrom.***

Or. hu

**Amendment 143**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and embryo clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market ***and importation*** of animal clones, embryo clones ***and living products of animal clones***.

Or. nl

**Amendment 144**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and embryo clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market ***and import*** of animal clones and embryo clones.

Or. es

*Justification*

*The ban must cover animal clones and embryo clones.*

**Amendment 145**  
**Iratxe García Pérez**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and embryo clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market ***and import*** of animal clones and embryo clones.

Or. es

*Justification*

*The ban must cover animal clones and embryo clones.*

**Amendment 146**

**Tibor Szanyi**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and embryo clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market ***and import*** of animal clones and embryo clones.

Or. en

**Amendment 147**

**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and embryo clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and embryo clones, ***and of food originating from embryo clones and animal clones;***

Or. en

**Amendment 148**

**Ulrike Müller**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and embryo clones.

*Amendment*

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and ***food from*** embryo clones.

**Amendment 149**  
**Frédérique Ries**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(ba) food from animal clones and from  
their immediate descendants.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*linked with amendment 4.*

**Amendment 150**  
**Ivo Belet, Tom Vandenkendelaere**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(ba) the placing on the Union market of  
food derived from animal clones.***

Or. nl

**Amendment 151**  
**Sylvie Goddyn, Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Philippe Loiseau, Edouard  
Ferrand**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(ba) the use of surrogate dams for all***

*mammals.*

Or. fr

*Justification*

*Animal welfare considerations, which apply just as much to the surrogate dam as to the clone, are the main factor behind public opposition to cloning. Irrespective of whether the embryo they carry is a clone or not, surrogate dams have to undergo extensive hormonal treatment. These practices should therefore be banned for the same reasons.*

**Amendment 152**

**Sylvie Goddyn, Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 3 – paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***Imports of live animals, carcasses, meat and pre-prepared meat-based dishes from third countries where animal cloning for farming purposes is practised shall be banned if the products concerned are not clearly identified, and are identifiable by consumers, as stemming from the use of cloning technology, either directly or by way of filiation.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 153**

**Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Pavel Poc, Karin Kadenbach, Damiano Zoffoli, Viorica Dăncilă**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 3 – paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***Animals from third countries shall not be placed on the Union market unless the import certificates accompanying those***

*animals show that they are not cloned animals or descended from cloned animals.*

Or. en

**Amendment 154**

**Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Pavel Poc, Karin Kadenbach, Damiano Zoffoli, Viorica Dăncilă**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 3 – paragraph 1 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*Food products of animal origin from third countries shall not be placed on the Union market unless the import certificates accompanying those products show that they have not been produced from cloned animals or from descendants of cloned animals.*

Or. en

**Amendment 155**

**Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Pavel Poc, Karin Kadenbach, Damiano Zoffoli, Viorica Dăncilă**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 3 – paragraph 1 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*The Commission shall propose the necessary amendments to animal health and zootechnical legislation in order to ensure that import certificates accompanying animals and food products of animal origin indicate whether they are, or are descended or derived from, cloned animals or their descendants.*

Or. en

**Amendment 156**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*To ensure that no animal clones are imported into the Union from third countries in which they may legally be placed on the market or exported, the Commission shall adopt specific import conditions under Article 48 or 49 of Regulation (EC) No 882/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council by [six months after the entry into force of this Regulation].*

Or. es

**Amendment 157**  
**Iratxe García Pérez**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*To ensure that no animal clones are imported into the Union from third countries in which they may legally be placed on the market or exported, the Commission shall adopt specific import conditions under Article 48 or 49 of Regulation (EC) No 882/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council by [six months after the entry into force of this Regulation].*

Or. es

**Amendment 158**  
**Ulrike Müller**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***Member States shall ensure that food of animal origin imported from third countries where food derived from clones can be legally placed on the market or whence it can be exported is only placed on the Union market in accordance with the specific import conditions laid down by Articles 48 and 49 of Regulation (EC) No 882/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>1a</sup>, so as to prevent the exportation of food from animal clones from those third countries to the Union.***

---

***<sup>1a</sup> Regulation (EC) No 882/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on official controls performed to ensure the verification of compliance with feed and food law, animal health and animal welfare rules (OJ L 165, 30.4.2004, p. 1).***

Or. en

**Amendment 159**

**Sylvie Goddyn, Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***Imports from third countries of mammals born to surrogate dams shall be banned.***

Or. fr



**Amendment 160**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**Article 3a**

**Traceability**

***To provide competent authorities and economic operators with the information they need for the application of Article 3(1)(b), traceability systems shall be established for:***

***(a) animal clones;***

***(b) embryo clones.***

***The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts, in accordance with Article 4a, to establish detailed rules for the inclusion of points (a) and (b) of the first paragraph in the certificates provided for in animal health and zootechnical legislation drawn up by the Commission for those purposes. Those delegated acts shall be adopted by [six months after the entry into force of this Regulation].***

Or. es

**Amendment 161**  
**Iratxe García Pérez**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**Article 3a**

**Traceability**

***To provide competent authorities and economic operators with the information they need for the application of***

*Article 3(1)(b), traceability systems shall be established for:*

*(a) animal clones;*

*(b) embryo clones.*

*The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts, in accordance with Article 4a, to establish detailed rules for the inclusion of points (a) and (b) of the first paragraph in the certificates provided for in animal health and zootechnical legislation drawn up by the Commission for those purposes. Those delegated acts shall be adopted by [six months after the entry into force of this Regulation].*

Or. es

**Amendment 162**  
**Frédérique Ries**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*Article 3a*

*Traceability*

*To provide competent authorities and economic operators with the information they need for the application of point (b) of the first paragraph of Article 3, traceability systems shall be established for:*

*(a) semen, eggs and embryos from cloned animals;*

*(b) the live offspring of cloned animals.*

Or. en

*Justification*

*In its resolution of 14 January 2014 on the food crisis, fraud in the food chain and the control thereof, in paragraph 46, the European Parliament called on the Commission to develop a*

*method of tracing and identifying meat from cloned animals. In the same way, the European Parliament's Environment Committee adopted a motion for resolution on 20 February 2014 where it reiterated its position for traceability measures for semen and embryos from cloned animals and for the live offspring of cloned animals.*

#### **Amendment 163**

**Ivan Jakovčić**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 4 – paragraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of the national provisions adopted pursuant to this Directive and shall take all measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for must be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. Member States shall notify those provisions to the Commission by [date for transposition of the Directive] at the latest and shall notify it without delay of any subsequent amendment affecting them.'

##### *Amendment*

Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of the national provisions adopted pursuant to this Directive and shall take all measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for must be effective, proportionate and ***manifestly and strongly*** dissuasive. Member States shall notify those provisions to the Commission by [date for transposition of the Directive] at the latest and shall notify it without delay of any subsequent amendment affecting them.'

Or. hr

#### **Amendment 164**

**Norbert Erdős, György Hölvényi**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 5 – paragraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

***(1) By [date = 5 years after the date of transposition of this Directive], the Member States shall report to the Commission on the experience gained by them on the application of this Directive.***

##### *Amendment*

***deleted***

Or. hu

*Justification*

*Amendment necessary to bring the text into line with the regulatory nature of the legislation.*

**Amendment 165**

**Norbert Erdős, György Hölvényi**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 5 – paragraph 2 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(2) The Commission shall present a report to the European Parliament and the Council on the application of **this Directive** taking into account:

*Amendment*

(2) ***Within five years after the entry into force of this regulation,*** the Commission shall present a report to the European Parliament and the Council on ***the experiences gained in the*** application of ***the regulation.***

Or. hu

*Justification*

*Amendment necessary to bring the text into line with the regulatory nature of the legislation.*

**Amendment 166**

**Norbert Erdős, György Hölvényi**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 5 – paragraph 2 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

***(a) the reports submitted by Member States in accordance with paragraph 1;***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

Or. hu

*Justification*

*Amendment necessary to bring the text into line with the regulatory nature of the legislation.*

**Amendment 167**  
**Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 5 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) scientific and technical progress, in particular relating to the animal welfare aspects of cloning;

*Amendment*

(b) ***all available*** scientific and technical ***evidence of*** progress, in particular relating to the animal welfare aspects of cloning ***and food safety issues***;

Or. en

**Amendment 168**  
**Marc Tarabella**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 5 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) scientific and technical progress, in particular relating to the animal welfare aspects of cloning;

*Amendment*

(b) scientific and technical progress, in particular relating to the animal welfare aspects of cloning ***and the progress made in establishing reliable traceability systems for clones and the descendants of clones.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 169**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 5 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(ba) the views of the EU public;***

Or. it

**Amendment 170**  
**Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 5 – paragraph 2 – point c a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(ca) consumers' concerns in relation to public health and animal welfare;***

Or. en

**Amendment 171**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 5 – paragraph 2 – point c a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(ca) ethical issues relating to animal cloning.***

Or. it

**Amendment 172**  
**Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 5 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***2a. By means of an official EUSurvey, the Commission shall launch a public consultation aimed at assessing any new trends regarding consumers' perceptions of food products from cloned animals.***

Or. en