UNITED NATIONS

NATIONS UNIES

RESTRICTED

ECONOMIC

AND

SOCIAL COUNCIL

CONSEIL ECONOMIQUE ET SOCIAL

E/PC/T/A/PV/33.Corr.3 30 July, 1947

ENGLISH ONLY

SECOND SESSION OF THE PREPARATORY COMMITTEE OF THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE ON TRADE AND EMPLOYMENT.

## Corrigendum to Verbatim Report of Thirty-third Meeting of Commission A (E/PC/T/A/PV/33)

The following corrections should be made to the remarks of Mr. Leddy (U.S.):

- P.13 Delete the phrase "it is a little different and" in lines 10 and 11.
- P.20 The sentence beginning on line ll should read as follows:

"Therefore we thought it well to draft provisions which would take care of real security interests and, at the same time, so far as we could, to limit the exception so as to prevent the adoption of protection for maintaining industries under every conceivable circumstance."

P.20 - The paragraph beginning on line 16 should read as follows:

"With regard to subparagraph (e), the limitation, I think, is primarily in the time. First, 'in time of war'. I think no one would question the need of a Member, or the right of a Member, to take action relating to its security interests in time of war and to determine for itself — which I think we cannot deny—what its security interests are."

P.24 - The sentence beginning on line 1 should read as follows:

"We might well add a provision permitting restrictions on the export of unpatented technology, and so have all three branches of production on the same basis."

P.29 - The three sentences beginning on line 1 should read as follows:

"If we put in a note of this kind in respect of Article 94 I think it may raise doubts elsewhere in the Charter. Therefore I would rather not see that kind of note. In order to prevent the application of Article 35 to Article 94, I think we would have to have a clear and explicit provision in Article 35 saying that no Member shall bring any complaint in respect of measures taken pursuant to Article 94. Since it is perfectly clear from the existing text that Article 35 does apply to Article 94, I would rather have it left that way, without the addition of a note emphasizing what is clear from the text."